G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references.
CB 69-23-142

1923
(CB

File No. 69/23

Serial Nos. 374

Later references.
CB 69-23-576
CB 42-24-142

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 374

Part B for Dec. 1923

SUBJECT.

Personal file of Dr. S. Krishnaswami Iyengar, Professor

To

Dr. S. Krishnaswamiengar, M.A. Ph.D.
Madras

Rc. 2014 Dy. 19/12/23

Grate that there is no likelihood of his services being required by the Mysore University on the expiry of the period for which his services have been lent to the Madras University.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.B. File No. 69 of 1923.

Serial No.	From
3	Rao Sahib Dr. S. Krishnaswamy Aiyangar, letter dt. 1.11.23. Requests to be informed of the definite views of the Mysore Govt. in regard to his pay in case he were taken to the Mysore Service on the 1st of Nov. 1924 Submitted for orders. Ch. 24) 4.11.23
	Resumption of the question of appointment of Mr. Venkatasubba Aiyar.
	C.B. 103-21 Sls 6 to 35 containing the papers referred to in the note.

(Rec'd. orders of H.E. the Governor on 10.11.23)

D

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

729

File No. of 19

Serial No.

D.O. letter dated the 1st November 1923 from Dr S.Krishnaswamiengar, with reference to the communication sent to him on the 25th September, is submitted for perusal.

He asks for a clear statement as to what exactly the Government would propose to do for him in case he comes back to Mysore service on the 1st November 1924 The loan of Dr. Krishnaswamiengar's services to the Madras University terminates on the 1st Nov. 1924. As he completes his 55 years only on the 15th April 1926 he has the option of returning to Mysore service, or he can retire from service, as he will have completed his 30 years' service (according to his own letter) on the 4th September 1924. He will then be entitled to the full ordinary pension of Rs.5,000 a year. What he desires to know is what salary he would be entitled to in case he reverts to Mysore service.

A Professor of Dr. Krishnaswamiengar's eminence would add to the prestige of our University and it would not be too much to give him the maximum pay of his present grade, viz., Rs.500-1,000. His name might even be thought of in connection with the special selection grade if one is instituted. The question however is whether there will be any room for him. Mr. S.V. Venkatesvara Aiyar's services have been obtained for three years from November 1922.

Perhaps the Vice-Chancellor may be consulted confidentially.

DEWAN)

18/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet

The required information may be supplied - that about the Vice-Chancellorship next year

RM/CIG/18-11

(3

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

(B) File No. 69 of 19 23-24

Serial No.

Forwarded unofficially with Compliments to the Vice-Chancellor Mysore University, for favour of remarks

By order

Chief Secy to Govt

Memo No. 26 CB 22/11/23.

21st Nov. 1923.

Confidential

I have given my best consideration to this question.

I. Certain general observations are necessary to explain the situation in the University so far as it bears on this question. I have been trying to develop ~~in the University~~ courses in Indian Culture History, without constructive work in which no Indian University can be faithful to its trust. The Archaeological Department is now happily incorporated in the University, and will shortly extend the scope of its work, and be effectively linked up with the History department. The existing courses in History have been remodelled, and a new Culture History course has been devised, in view of which a Mysore scholar will be deputed to be trained in the Oriental Departments of a British or American University (and eventually, if necessary, in the Government of India Archaeological Department).

For this work, we have already in the University (1) Dr.R.Shama Sastry, the Curator of the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Oriental Library and Director of the Archaeological Department and (2) Prof. Venkateswara Iyer, Professor of Indian History. We have also selected for deputation a competent Mysorean graduate, who has already had a valuable training. Between the three, we shall be able to cover the whole ground -- the Sanskrit and Sanskritic Cultures in addition to the Dravidian; and at the present stage, it may be added, a rough working knowledge of the Malayan and Indonesian cultures is also a necessary equipment of all workers in Indian (especially South Indian) archaeology and Culture History. This can be provided for in connection with the proposed deputation. There is therefore no room for a further appointment on the archaeological side; but in connection with the Departments of Philosophy as well as of Sanskrit and Sanskritic languages, we have to provide for Prakrit and Pali Courses, and we are training a Research student (a Mysorean graduate) for this work, who may be eventually appointed to the (now vacant) post of Assistant Professor of Philosophy, and will teach Indian philosophy (as well as Metaphysics)

II. Now to come to the question before us :-- Prof. S.V.Krishnaswami Iyengar has done valuable work in connection with South Indian History, with especial reference to Tamil sources; but, as will appear from the above, we in the University have now to provide for co-ordinated studies of the various Cultures already referred to (including a

Serial No.

practical archaeologist's equipment in excavation and exploration work). Besides, the University with multifarious claims on its funds from so many departments must not spend a disproportionate amount on the Culture History side, and the state of the University finances does not permit of any costly separate arrangement for a mere fraction of the History (or Culture-History) course.

III. From a note put up by the Registrar, I find that the appointment of Professor Iyengar has been,—from the very commencement of the working of this University,—treated as supernumerary. And there has been a separate Professor of Indian History on the University staff. It is also noted that in July 1917 he applied for the regular Professor's place, but another applicant Dr.Radhakumud Mookerji was given the appointment.

IV. As regards Professor Venkateswara Iyer, it is to be noted that he was appointed in Professor Radhakumud Mookerji's place, and not to the Supernumerary post that had been held by Prof. Iyengar.

Prof. Venkatesvara Iyer's services have been obtained for 3 years from November 1922, and the term therefore extends till a year after Prof.Krishnaswami Iyengar's possible reversion to the State Service.

And there is no reason why Prof.Venkatesvara Iyer's connection with the University should necessarily cease after the three years are over. In fact,

WD A15—GPB—2,000—16-7-17

Mr. U. 2]

C.B File No. 69 of 1923 729

Prof. Venkatesvara Iyer would fit in very well with the co-ordinated courses of Indian Culture in the University which are our immediate objective.

Vice-Chancellor.
12.12.1923

~~13th December 1923~~

In the light of the V.C's observations, Dr Krishnaswamy Iyengar has perhaps been informed that there is no likelihood of his services being required by the Mysore University on the expiry of the period for which his services have been lent to the Annamalai University.

To
Rao Sahib Dr. S. Krishnaswamy Iyengar, Annamalai
States that there is no likelihood of his services being required by the Mysore University on the expiry of the period for which his services have been lent to the Annamalai University.

NOTE—1 Contn. sheet.

Sri Venkatesa Vilas,
Nadu street,
Mylapore, Madras.

1st. November 1923.

Dear sir,

I am in due receipt of your D.O.No.1032/C.B. 69-23-2 dated the 25th of September 1923, for which kindly do me the favour to convey my thanks to the Dewan for having put himself to the trouble of giving me this authoritative reply. I note the D.O. under reference admits my right to pension of Rs.1000/-, and it declines to permit of my retirement sooner than I become entitled to it by completing my thirty years of service. In regard to the third point, however, the question of my salary, which is really the most unsatisfactory point in the whole position, your reply leaves me in doubt. On the face of it, it may be interpreted as just evading the question; but I believe, it is capable of being interpreted as conveying something like a sympathetic assurance that when the contingency of a reversion actually arises the salary question could be trusted to be satisfactorily settled. I hope I shall not be wrong if I put the latter more charitable interpretation upon it.

With today the 1st inst. I enter on the tenth year of my contract with the University here, and I expect the governing bodies of the University to come into existence before the end of this month. As soon as these bodies, particularly the Syndicate and the Senate, and possibly the Academic Council, come into order, I shall have to take the matter before them as to the University wanting my services further, or of their being willing to let me go. The question of my reversion therefore is not altogether a remote contingency, and is matter towards which I have to take action almost immediately. In this connection, it would be of great assistance to me to shape my own action if His Highness's government would make them-

themselves a little more explicit, and just let me have a clear statement of their wishes as to what exactly they would propose to do for me in case I went back to the Mysore service on the 1st of November 1924. If I have some definite idea as to what exactly I can expect from the Mysore Government I shall be enabled to take steps accordingly As I am at present, I can only proceed on the definite understanding I have a title to retire from the Mysore service on my full pension on the 4th of September next. I hope I shall not be misconstrued if I ask to know definitely the actual wishes of the government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, as it is a vital matter for me in regard to my future what steps I actually take and how far I commit myself with the Madras University. The negotiations opened two years ago with such promise (I was given the hope of a salary of Rs.1250/-), which however, unluckily proved abortive, gives me hope that I may safely count upon the sympathy of the government; but something more definite is necessary before I could commit myself by action.

I request the favour therefore of your placing the matter before the Dewan and letting me have a definite statement of the views of the government in regard to me so as to enable me to take action accordingly.

Thanking you in anticipation,

Yours sincerely,

S. Krishnaswami Aiyangar

K.Mathan Esqr., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Govt.
of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

F. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT...

Diary No. of letter replied to-

Section C.B
File No. 69.23
Serial No. 4

General and Revenue Secretariat

D.O. No. 2814

, the *191*

Date of issue 19/12/23

Signed by R. Mallam

To

Rao Sahib Dr. S.

Dear Sir,

With reference to your letter dated the 15th Nov. 1923, I am directed to inform you that there is no likelihood of your services being required by the Mysore University on the expiry of the period for which your services have been lent to the Madras University.

over Yours sincerely,

Chief Secretary

Sent 18-12-23

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by